arXiv:1207.7178v1 [math.NT] 31 Jul 2012

ON ADDITIVE REPRESENTATION FUNCTIONS

R. BALASUBRAMANIAN AND SUMIT GIRI

ABSTRACT. Let $A = (a_1 < a_2 < ....)$ be an infinite subset of positive real numbers. Define $A(n) = Card(A \cap [1,n])$ and $R_2(n) = Card\{(a_1,a_2) \in A \times A \mid a_1 + a_2 = n; a_1 \leq a_2\}$. Let $S_k = \sum\limits_{l=1}^{k} (R_2(2l) - R_2(2l+1))$, $S_k{}^+ = max\{S_k, 0\}$, $m(N) = N(\log N + \log\log N)$, and $T(N) = \max\limits_{k \leq m(N)} S_k{}^+$, then improving a result of Tang and Chen (see [2]), we prove that, if $T(N) \leq cA(N)$, then L^1 norm of $\frac{S_k{}^+}{k}$ is large.

1. *Introduction*

Let $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ be an infinite set where $\mathbb{N}$ denote the set of positive integers; Let

$$R_1(n) = \sum_{a_i + a_j = n} 1;$$

$$R_2(n) = \sum_{\substack{a_i + a_j = n \\ a_i < a_j}} 1;$$

$$R_3(n) = \sum_{\substack{a_i + a_j = n \\ a_i \leq a_j}} 1;$$

$$A(n) = \sum_{\substack{a \in A \\ a \leq N}} 1.$$

Erdős, Sárközy and Sós (see [4],[8]) and Balasubramanian (see [3]) studied the monotonicity properties of the function $R_1(n), R_2(n), R_3(n)$. They concluded that: If $R_2(n+1) \geq R_2(n)$ for large n, then $A(N) = N + O(\log N)$.

Erdős, Sárközy and Sós (see [8]) proved that if $(N - A(N))/\log N \to \infty$ as $N \to \infty$, then

$$\limsup_{N \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{N} (R_2(2k) - R_2(2k+1)) = +\infty$$

Tang and Chen (see [2]) proved an quantative version of the previous result:

$$\text{Let } m(N) = N(\log N + \log\log N), \quad \text{and } \; T(N) = \max_{n \leq N} \sum_{k \leq n} (R_2(2k) - R_2(2k+1)).$$

Then they proved that

$$\text{if } \; T(N) < \frac{1}{36} A(N), \text{ then } \; T(N) > \frac{1}{80e} \frac{(N - A(N))}{\log N} - \frac{11}{4} - \frac{N_1}{8}$$

for large enough N.

We prove a similar result with L^∞ norm of

$$S(n) = \sum_{k \leq n} (R_2(2k) - R_2(2k+1))$$

is replaced by L^1 norm of

$$S(n)^+ = max\{S(n), 0\}.$$

Theorem 1. *Let A be an infinite sequence of positive numbers, and assume that there exists* $N_0 \geq 40$, *such that*

$$T(N) < \frac{1}{36} A(N) \quad \textit{for all } N \geq N_0 \tag{1}$$

then there exists a constant $N_1 > 0$ *such that*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m(N)} \frac{S_k{}^+}{k} > \frac{1}{16e}(N - A(N)) - \frac{1}{8}\log N - \frac{1}{8}N_1 \log(2N_1) + \frac{1}{8}\log(N_1) - 2, \tag{2}$$

for all $N \geq N_1$.

¿From the above theorem, we can get the following corollary:

Corollary 1. *Let A be an infinite sequence of positive numbers and* $N_0 > 40$ *be a positive integer such that,*

$$T(N) < \frac{1}{36} A(N)$$

for all $N \geq N_0$*; Then there exists* $N_2 > N_0$ *such that,*

$$T(N) > \frac{1}{32e}\frac{(N - A(N))}{\log N} - \frac{1}{16} \qquad \textit{for all } N \geq N_2. \tag{3}$$

Remark 1. *In the proof, we assume that* N_1 *is sufficiently large; But as the reader can notice, one can take any* N_1, *which satisfies the following:*

$$\textit{Define } \tilde{N} \textit{ by } : \quad A(\tilde{N}) > 40,$$

$$\textit{then,} \quad \left(\frac{40}{e\tilde{N}}\right)^{\tilde{N}} > (0.98)^{N_1};$$

Before proving the Theorem 1 let us introduce few more notations:

$$f(z) := \sum_{a \in A} z^a \quad ; |z| < 1.$$

$$\text{Consequently} \quad f(z)^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R(n) z^n;$$

$$\chi_A(n) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n \in A \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

2. *Proof of Theorem 1:*

Lemma 1. $f(\alpha^2) \leq \frac{(1-\alpha)}{2\alpha} f(\alpha)^2 + 2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(R_2(2k) - R_2(2k+1))\alpha^{2k}$ *for* $0 < |\alpha| < 1$

Proof. Comparing the coefficients of α^n, both sides, the following identity can be verified very easily:

$$f(\alpha^2) = \frac{(1-\alpha)}{2\alpha}(f(\alpha))^2 + 2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(R_2(2k) - R_2(2k+1))\alpha^{2k} - \frac{(1+\alpha)}{2\alpha}(f(-\alpha))^2$$

Now using the fact that $\frac{(1+\alpha)}{2}(f(-\alpha))^2 \geq 0$, for $0 < |\alpha| < 1$, we get the required lemma. □

Lemma 2. $f(\alpha^2) \leq \frac{(1-\alpha)}{2\alpha}(f(\alpha))^2 + 2(1-\alpha^2)\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} S_k \alpha^{2k}$ *, for* $0 < |\alpha| < 1$.

Proof.

$$\text{Now} \quad 2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(R_2(2k) - R_2(2k+1))\alpha^{2k} = 2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(S_k - S_{k-1})\alpha^{2k}$$

$$= 2(1-\alpha^2)\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} S_k \alpha^{2k}.$$

□

Then by putting $\alpha = e^{-\frac{1}{N}}$, and $f(e^{-\frac{2}{N}}) = \Psi(\frac{N}{2})$, we get:

$$\frac{(1-\alpha)}{2\alpha}(f(\alpha))^2 = \frac{(1-e^{-\frac{1}{N}})}{2e^{-\frac{1}{N}}}(\Psi(N))^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{N}+\frac{1}{N^2})(\Psi(N))^2 \tag{4}$$

$$\text{also} \quad \Psi(N) = \sum_{a\in A} e^{-\frac{a}{N}} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{n}{N}} \leq N \tag{5}$$

$$\text{Define} \quad g(N) = 1 + 4\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(R_2(2k) - R_2(2k+1))e^{\frac{-2k}{N}} \tag{6}$$

Lemma 3. $(\Psi(N))^2 \geq 2N\Psi(\frac{N}{2}) - Ng(N)$ *for all* N*, where* $\Psi(N)$ *and* $g(N)$ *are defined as above.*

Proof. Using Lemma 2 and (4) we get

$$\Psi(\frac{N}{2}) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{N}+\frac{1}{N^2})\Psi(N)^2 + 2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(R_2(2k)-R_2(2k+1))e^{-\frac{2k}{N}}$$

Using (5) and (6) we get,

$$\Psi(N)^2 \geq 2N\Psi(\frac{N}{2}) - Ng(N) \quad \text{for all } N.$$

□

$$\text{Recall: } T(N) = \max_{n\leq m(N)} \sum_{k\leq n}(R_2(2k)-R_2(2k+1)) = \max_{n\leq m(N)} S_n$$

$$\text{and: } A(N) = \sum_{\substack{a\in A\\ a\leq n}} 1.$$

Lemma 4. *If* $T(N) < \frac{1}{36}A(N)$ *for all* $N \geq N_0$*, then* $g(N) < \frac{1}{9}A(N)+2$*, and* $g(N) < \Psi(\frac{N}{2})$ *for all* $N \geq \tilde{N}$*, for some* $\tilde{N} \geq N_0$*.*

Proof. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(R_2(2k)-R_2(2k+1))e^{-\frac{2k}{N}} &= \sum_{k=1}^{m(N)}(R_2(2k)-R_2(2k+1))e^{-\frac{2k}{N}}\\ &\quad + \sum_{k=m(N)}^{\infty}(R_2(2k)-R_2(2k+1))e^{-\frac{2k}{N}};\\ &= \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 \quad \text{Say;}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Now} \quad \Sigma_1 &= \sum_{k=1}^{m(N)}(R_2(2k)-R_2(2k+1))e^{-\frac{2k}{N}};\\ &= (1-e^{-\frac{2}{N}})\sum_{k=1}^{m(N)} S_k e^{-\frac{2k}{N}};\\ &\leq \max_{k\leq m(N)} S_k;\\ &= T(N);\\ &< \frac{1}{36}A(N) \quad \forall N \geq N_0.\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Also,}\quad \Sigma_2 = \sum_{k=m(N)+1}^{\infty} (R_2(2k) - R_2(2k+1))e^{-\frac{2k}{N}}$$

Using $|R_2(2k) - R_2(2k+1)| < k$ and $m(N) = N(\log N + \log\log N)$, and also considering the fact that $h_1(x) = xe^{-\frac{2x}{N}}$ is a decreasing function for $x \geq N$ we get,

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_2 &\leq \int_{m(N)}^{\infty} xe^{-\frac{2x}{N}}\,dx;\\ &< \frac{3}{4\log N} \qquad \text{if } N > 40.\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{So,}\quad g(N) &= 1 + 4(\Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2);\\ &< 1 + 4(\frac{1}{36}A(N) + \frac{3}{4\log N}) \quad \text{for } N \geq N_0;\\ &= \frac{1}{9}A(N) + 2.\\ \text{Since}\quad \Psi(\frac{N}{2}) &> \sum_{\substack{a\in A\\ a\leq N}} e^{-\frac{a}{N}} > e^{-2}\sum_{\substack{a\in A\\ a\leq N}} 1 = \frac{1}{e^2}A(N),\\ \text{we have:}\quad g(N) &< \frac{1}{e^2}A(N) < \Psi(\frac{N}{2}) \quad \text{for all } N \geq \tilde{N},\end{aligned}$$

for some large enough $\tilde{N}$. ☐

Note 1. *Here we choose $\tilde{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{9}A(\tilde{N}) + 2 \leq \frac{1}{e^2}A(\tilde{N})$. So $A(\tilde{N}) > 40$ at least. In fact we can choose these constants much more precisely. But for the time being this much is enough for us.*

Remark 2. *In fact we can make the condition of Theorem 1 weaker by considering L^1 norm instead of L^∞ norm; That is, instead of (1), one can assume*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m(N)} S_k e^{-\frac{2k}{N}} < \frac{NA(N)}{72} \quad , \textit{for all } N \geq N_0. \tag{7}$$

Then in Lemma 4, we get :

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_1 &= (1 - e^{-\frac{2}{N}})\sum_{k=1}^{m(N)} S_k e^{-\frac{2k}{N}};\\ &\leq \frac{2}{N}\frac{NA(N)}{72};\\ &= \frac{1}{36}A(N) \qquad \forall N \geq N_0,\end{aligned}$$

and the rest of the proof remains the same.

Lemma 5. *$\Psi(N) \geq 0.49N$ for all $N \geq N_1$ for some constant N_1.*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}&\text{By Lemma 3 we get:} \quad \Psi(N)^2 \geq 2N\Psi(\frac{N}{2}) - Ng(N), \quad \forall N \geq 1;\\ &\text{Also Lemma 4 gives:} \quad \Psi(\frac{N}{2}) > g(N), \qquad \forall N \geq \tilde{N};\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{So,}\quad \Psi(N)^2 &> N\Psi(\frac{N}{2}) \qquad \forall N \geq \tilde{N};\\ \text{Dividing by } N^2\text{, we get:}\quad (\frac{\Psi(N)}{N})^2 &\geq \frac{\Psi(\frac{N}{2})}{N}. \qquad \forall N \geq \tilde{N};\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Put } H(X) = \frac{\Psi(X)}{X}, \quad F(X) = H(X)^X \quad \text{for positive } X.$$

$$\text{This gives:} \quad H(N)^2 > \frac{1}{2}H(\frac{N}{2}) \qquad \forall N \geq \tilde{N};$$
$$\text{We raise to the power } \frac{N}{2} \text{ to get:} \quad F(N) > (\frac{1}{2})^{\frac{N}{2}} F(\frac{N}{2}) \qquad \forall N \geq \tilde{N}.$$

This gives by induction,

$$F(N) > (\frac{1}{2})^{N(1-\frac{1}{2^\lambda})} F(\frac{N}{2^\lambda}), \quad \text{for all integer } \lambda \geq 1, \text{ if } \frac{N}{2^\lambda} \geq \tilde{N};$$
$$\text{So, } H(N) > (\frac{1}{2})^{(1-\frac{1}{2^\lambda})} F(\frac{N}{2^\lambda})^{\frac{1}{N}} \quad \forall N \geq \tilde{N};$$
$$\text{In particular, Choose } \lambda \text{ such that: } \tilde{N} < \frac{N}{2^\lambda} \leq 2\tilde{N}$$

Now since $F(x)$ is bounded below by an absolute constant in the region $\tilde{N} \leq x \leq 2\tilde{N}$, so we can choose $N_1 > \tilde{N}$ such that:

$$H(N) \geq 0.49 \quad \forall N \geq N_1.$$

This proves the result. ☐

Lemma 6. *Let x be a real number such that* $0 < x \leq 1$*; then,*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n x^{2^n} \leq \frac{x(1+x)}{(1-x)}.$$

Proof. If $0 < x \leq 1$ then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n x^{2^n} &= x + 2x^2 + 4x^4 + 8x^8 + \ldots\ldots \\
&\leq (-x+2x) + (2x^2) + (2x^3+2x^4) + (2x^5+2x^6+2x^7+2x^8) + \ldots\ldots \\
&= -x + 2(x + x^2 + x^3 + x^4 + x^5 + x^6 + \ldots\ldots.) \\
&= \frac{x(1+x)}{(1-x)}
\end{aligned}$$

☐

Lemma 7. *Let,* $G(N) = g(N) + g(\frac{N}{2}) + g(\frac{N}{4}) + \ldots.. + g(\frac{N}{\lambda_1})$*, where* λ_1 *is defined as* $N_1 < \frac{N}{2^{\lambda_1}} \leq 2N_1$*. Then,*

$$G(N) \leq 8 \sum_{k \leq m(N)} \frac{S_k^{+}}{k} + \log N - \log N_1 + 16, \qquad \textit{for all } N \geq N_1;$$

Proof. Approximating $(1 - e^{-\frac{2}{N}})$ by $\frac{2}{N}$, we see

$$g(N) \leq 1 + \frac{8}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} S_k y_k, \quad \text{with, } y_k = e^{-\frac{2k}{N}}.$$

This gives:

$$\begin{aligned}
G(N) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda_1} g(\frac{N}{2^j}) \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda_1} (1 + \frac{8}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} S_k 2^j {y_k}^{2^j}) \\
&\leq (\lambda_1 + \frac{8}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} {S_k}^{+} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^j {y_k}^{2^j}) \quad \text{, where } \log N_1 + \lambda_1 \leq \log N. \\
&= \log N - \log N_1 + \frac{8}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} {S_k}^{+} \frac{y_k(1+y_k)}{(1-y_k)} \quad \text{, by Lemma 6} \\
&= (\log N) + \frac{8}{N} \sum_{k \leq m(N)} {S_k}^{+} \frac{y_k(1+y_k)}{(1-y_k)} + \frac{8}{N} \sum_{k > m(N)} {S_k}^{+} \frac{y_k(1+y_k)}{(1-y_k)}
\end{aligned}$$

In the third term, y_k is close to 0; Hence the third term is $\leq \frac{16}{N} \sum_{k>m(N)} {S_k}^{+} y_k$, which is ≤ 16, since ${S_k}^{+} \leq \frac{k(k+1)}{2}$.
In the middle term, $\frac{y_k(1+y_k)}{(1-y_k)} \leq \frac{N}{k}$ and hence it is $\leq 8 \sum_{k \leq m(N)} \frac{{S_k}^{+}}{k}$. Hence the Lemma follows. ☐

Lemma 8. *Let $G(N)$ be defined as above. Then $\Psi(N) \geq N e^{-(\frac{2G(N)-\log C}{N})}$ for all $N \geq N_1$, where $C = \min_{N_1 \leq x \leq 2N_1} (\frac{\Psi(x)}{x})^x$.*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Lemma 3 gives:} \quad \Psi(N)^2 &> 2N\Psi(\frac{N}{2})(1 - \frac{g(N)}{2\Psi(\frac{N}{2})}) \qquad \forall N \geq N_1; \\
\text{Also Lemma 5 gives:} \quad \Psi(N)^2 &> 2N\Psi(\frac{N}{2})(1 - \frac{g(N)}{0.49N}) \qquad \forall N \geq N_1; \\
\text{again using } H(N) &= \frac{\Psi(N)}{N} \quad \text{and } F(N) = H(N)^N, \\
\text{We get } H(N)^2 &> H(\frac{N}{2})(1 - \frac{g(N)}{0.49N}) \qquad \forall N \geq N_1; \\
\text{Note that since } g(N) \leq \frac{1}{e^2} A(N) &\leq \frac{1}{e^2} N, \text{ we have, } (1 - \frac{g(N)}{0.49N}) \geq e^{-\frac{4g(N)}{N}}. \\
\text{Which implies, } H(N)^2 &> H(\frac{N}{2}) e^{-\frac{4g(N)}{N}} \qquad \forall N \geq N_1; \\
\text{Or, } F(N) &> F(\frac{N}{2}) e^{-2g(N)} \qquad \forall N \geq N_1; \\
\text{Let } \lambda_1 \text{be given by:} \quad N_1 &\leq \frac{N}{2^{\lambda_1}} < 2N_1; \\
\text{So, } F(N) &> F(\frac{N}{2^{\lambda_1}}) e^{-2(g(N)+g(\frac{N}{2})+....+g(\frac{N}{2^{\lambda_1}}))} \\
&> F(\frac{N}{2^{\lambda_1}}) e^{-2G(N)} \qquad \forall N \geq N_1; \\
\text{Let} \quad C &= \min_{N_1 \leq x \leq 2N_1} (\frac{\Psi(x)}{x})^x. \\
\text{Hence, } F(N) &> C e^{-2G(N)} \qquad \forall N \geq N_1; \\
\text{That gives, } \Psi(N) &> N C^{\frac{1}{N}} e^{-\frac{2G(N)}{N}} \qquad \forall N \geq N_1; \\
\text{Or, } \Psi(N) &> N e^{-\frac{(2G(N)-logC)}{N}} \qquad \forall N \geq N_1.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Note 2. *Note that* $C > 0$ *as* $\Psi(x) > e^{-1}A(\tilde{N}) \geq \frac{40}{e}$ *if* $x > N_1$.
Also since $\Psi(x) \geq \frac{40}{e} > 1, \quad \forall x \geq N_1$ *then:* $\log C \geq -2N_1 \log(2N_1)$.

3. *Proof of Theorem 1:*

Proof. Lemma 8 gives:

$$e^{\frac{(2G(N)-\log C)}{N}} > \frac{N}{\Psi(N)} \qquad \forall N \geq N_1;$$

$$= (1-(1-\frac{\Psi(N)}{N}))^{-1}$$

$$\text{Taking } \log \text{ on both sides, } \frac{(2G(N)-\log C)}{N} > (1-\frac{\Psi(N)}{N}), \qquad \forall N \geq N_1;$$

$$\text{Which gives,} \quad 2G(N) > N - \Psi(N) + \log C, \qquad \forall N \geq N_1.$$

Then Using Lemma 7 and Note 2 we get,

$$2(8\sum_{k\leq m(N)} \frac{{S_k}^+}{k} + \log N - \log N_1 + 16) > \frac{1}{e}(N-A(N)) - 2N_1\log(2N_1) \qquad \forall N \geq N_1.$$

$$\text{Or, } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{{S_k}^+}{k} > \frac{1}{16e}(N-A(N)) - \frac{1}{8}\log N - \frac{1}{8}N_1\log(2N_1) + \frac{1}{8}\log(N_1) - 2, \quad \forall N \geq N_1.$$

Which proves Theorem 1.

□

4. REMARK 3

Remark 3. *Also we answer a question raised by Sárközy (see* [1]*)[Problem 5, Page 337],which says: Does there exists a set* $\mathscr{A} \subset \mathbb{N}$ *such that* $\mathscr{A}$ *is an infinite set and* $R_1(n+1) \geq R_1(n)$ *holds on a sequence of integers n whose density is* 1*?*
Here we show that the answer of this question is positive by producing a simple example.

Let $\mathscr{B}$ be an infinite Sidon set of even integers and $\mathscr{A} = \mathbb{N} \setminus \mathscr{B}$;
Put

$$Y = (\mathscr{B}+\mathscr{B}) \cup \mathscr{B} \quad \text{and } X = \mathbb{N} \setminus Y;$$

Then,

$$R_1(n+1) \geq R_1(n) \quad \text{for all } n \in X.$$

To see this, let

$$f(z) = \sum_{a\in\mathscr{A}} z^a \quad \text{and } g(z) = \sum_{b\in\mathscr{B}} z^b,$$

$$\text{Then } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(R_(n) - R_1(n-1))z^n = (1-z)f(z)^2$$

$$= (1-z)(\frac{z}{(1-z)} - g(z))^2$$

$$= \frac{z^2}{(1-z)} + (1-z)(g(z))^2 - 2zg(z)$$

let

$$r_1(n) = \sum_{\substack{b_i+b_j=n \\ b_i\in\mathscr{B}, b_j\in\mathscr{B}}} 1,$$

So $R_1(n+1) \geq R_1(n)$ iff coefficient of z^{n+1} in $(1-z)(f(z))^2$ is non negetive.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now coefficient of } z^{2k} \text{ is } &= 1 + r_1(2k) - r_1(2k-1) - 2\chi_{\mathscr{B}}(2k-1) \\ \text{and coefficient of } z^{2k+1} \text{ is } &= 1 + r_1(2k+1) - r_1(2k) - 2\chi_{\mathscr{B}}(2k) \end{aligned}$$

Then it is clear from the above choice of X and $\mathscr{A}$ that, $R_1(n+1) \geq R_1(n)$ for all n in X. For example we can take $\mathscr{B} = \{2, 4, 8, 16, 32, ..., 2^m, ...\}$. Then $\mathscr{B}$ is infinite and X is of density 1.

REFERENCES

[1] A. Sárközy, 'On the Number of Additive Representations of Integers.' *Bolyai Society Mathematical Studies,***15**, (2006) 329-339.

[2] Min Tang and Yong-Gao Chen, 'On Additive Properties of General Sequences.' *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, **71**, (2005) 479-485

[3] R. Balasubramanian, 'A note on a result of Erd˝os, S´ark¨ozy and S´os,' *Acta Arith.* **49** (1987), 45–53.

[4] P. Erdős, A. Sárközy and V.T. Sós, 'Problems and results on additive properties of general sequences, IV,', in Number Theory, Proceedings, Ootacamund, India, 1984, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1122 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985), pp. 85–104.

[5] P. Erdős and A. Sárközy, 'Problems and results on additive properties of general sequences,' *P, Pacific J. Math.* **118** (1985), 347-357.

[6] P. Erdős and A. Sárközy, 'Problems and results on additive properties of general sequences, II', *Ada Math. Hungar.* **48** (1986), 201-211.

[7] P. Erdős, A. Sárközy and V.T. Sós, 'Problems and results on additive properties of general sequences, III', *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.* **22** (1987), 53-63.

[8] P. Erdős, A. Sárközy and V.T. Sós, 'Problems and results on additive properties of general sequences, V', *Monatsh. Math.* **102** (1986), 183-197.

[9] Yong-Gao Chen, András Sárközy, Vera T. Sós and Min Tang, 'On The Monotonicity Properties of Additive Representations Functions',*Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, **72** (2005), 129–138.

[10] M. Ajtai, J. Komlós and E. Szemerédi, 'A dense infinite Sidon sequence', *European J. Combin.* **2** (1981), 1–11.